



Re: Revised flow rate numbers: video not yet in Plume Team hands

Mark K Sogge o Martha N Garcia

06/07/2010 10:32 AM

Cc: mcnut

History: This message has been replied to.

Hi Martha,

The Plume Team has not yet received the new video; we did not get it in time to FedEx to them yesterday. So they won't receive it until some time tomorrow, which means that a post-riser cut estimate will not happen in the timeframe you suggest below.

Bill Lehr, the Plume Team lead, told me he thinks they can have an estimate by Friday, if they get the video tomorrow. However, I do not know if Marcia wants that timeline made public yet. So please do not mention it until I can check with her.

Thanks!

Mark

Mark Sogge
Chief of Staff, USGS Western Region
2255 Gemini Drive, Flagstaff, AZ 86001
Cell: 928-606-1286; FAX: 928-556-7266
mark_sogge@usgs.gov

From: Martha N Garcia/BRD/USGS/DOI
To: Marcia K McNut/DO/USGS/DOI@USGS
Cc: "Mark Sogge" <mark_sogge@usgs.gov>
Date: 06/07/2010 09:03 AM
Subject: Re: Revised flow rate numbers

Marcia, Thanks. Looking forward to working with you and Mark. Just sat in on the Governor's call where the need for revised flow rate numbers was reiterated. Gov Jindal was hoping to have an answer by 1 today when LA meets with BP regarding claims.

While it is doubtful that we will have numbers by that time, the NIC would like an estimate of when the info will be revised for future calls.

I will let them know that the FR team has just received the video and will try to have a revised estimate by

tomorrow. Do you think that is doable?

Martha N. Garcia, Chief of Staff
Senior Advisor for Biology
301 National Center
Reston, VA 20192
mgarcia@usgs.gov
703 648-6960
703 648-4039 fax

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld (www.BlackBerry.net)

----- Original Message -----

From: Marcia K McNutt
Sent: 06/07/2010 09:43 AM EDT
To: Martha Garcia; Mark Sogge; "Bill Lehr" <Bill.Lehr@noaa.gov>
Subject: Re: Revised flow rate numbers

Martha -

Welcome aboard! And thanks for your help in this.

We are trying not to dribble out information and not to insult people's intelligence by stating the obvious. Clearly we could raise our lower bound to correspond to the current yield from the top hat, but that hardly requires FRTG analysis. The Plume Team has new video post removal of the riser, but they just got it. We will put out a new estimate when we have new results.

----- Original Message -----

From: Martha N Garcia
Sent: 06/07/2010 09:16 AM EDT
To: Mark Sogge; Marcia McNutt
Subject: Revised flow rate numbers

The NIC is asking when the FRTG will have revised numbers on the flow rate now that a portion of the oil is being captured. Let me know. Thanks

Martha N. Garcia, Chief of Staff
Senior Advisor for Biology
301 National Center
Reston, VA 20192
mgarcia@usgs.gov
703 648-6960
703 648-4039 fax

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld (www.BlackBerry.net)